
From: Robert Craggs [bcraggs@googlemail.com]
Sent: 16 July 2010 13:11
To: Grant Shapps MP; Chloe Smith MP; Simon Osborn Programme Officer JCS
Cc: Keith Simpson MP; Phil Kirby; Joint Core Strategy; June Hunt; Malcolm Martins; Mollie Howes; Tony & Ann Stubbs; Natalie Wallace; Nick & Pauline Millar; Ben Hogben; Francis & Lisa Madden; Marc Allen
Subject: GNDP Joint Core Focussed Changes "Consultation"
Attachments: JCS Focussed Changes July 2010.doc



JCS Focussed
Changes July 2010..

Comment in the attachment are self explanatory I think. If the strategy is not flawed then the consultation process most certainly is.

Regards
Bob Craggs

JCS Focussed Changes July 2010

Comments on the Statement of Focussed Changes

Quotation from the document is shown in Arial black text, highlighted text is shown in red and my comments in green

Making a representation

The Partnership is undertaking a six week public consultation and is inviting representations on this Statement of Focussed Changes. The consultation period is between 19 July 2010 and 30 August 2010.

The Focussed Changes consultation is not an opportunity for respondents to add to their original representation. The purpose of this additional six week consultation period is to consider whether the Statement of Focussed Changes addendum will ensure that the Submission Joint Core Strategy **remains legally compliant and 'sound'**. Representations should only relate to the Focussed Changes in sections 1, 2 and 3 of this document.

Why can't people be allowed to change their mind or volunteer more information which is natural in a consultation? Especially when the Inspectors have issued guidance for change?

How can something remain sound when it was judged to be unsound originally?

If the government has revoked Regional Strategies why is this JCS being retained?

How is it that South Norfolk can from a Dispersal Strategy option to start with then decide to reduce the number of houses by 75% before these focused changes are published and we are being told that we cannot volunteer any further information to our original submissions?

Come on! This is totally unacceptable

R Craggs