



NORWICH

GREAT YARMOUTH

WEST NORFOLK

THETFORD

9 Norwich Business Park Whiting Road Norwich Norfolk NR4 6DJ T: +44 (0)1603 625977

F: +44 (0)1603 633032

E: info@norfolkchamber.co.uk

Greater Norwich Development Partnership PO Box 3466 Norwich NR7 7NX

Monday 5 March 2012

Dear Sirs,

1. Personal details	3:	2. Agents details (if applicable)	
*If an agent is appointed, pl in column 1 below, but com column 2.	lease complete only the Title and Name boxes plete the full contact details of the agent in		
Title		Mr	
First name		Paul	
Last name		Clarke	
Job title		Regional Office Partner	
Organisation	Norfolk Chamber of Commerce		
Address		16 Upper King Street	
Postcode		Norwich, Norfolk	
Telephone no.		01603 229402	
Email address		paul.clarke@bidwells.co.uk	





NORWICH GREAT YARMOUTH WEST NORFOLK

THETFORD

9 Norwich Business Park Whiting Road Norwich Norfolk NR4 6DJ T: +44 (0)1603 625977 F: +44 (0)1603 633032 E: info@norfolkchamber.co.uk

Q1. Do you consider the Council(s) has followed a correct approach in de the Draft Charging Schedule as required by the Community Infrastructure Regulations 2010 (as amended)?						
	Yes		No	✓		
	I would like my representation to be considered for (please tick all that apply):					
	Broadland District Council's Draft Charging Schedule ✓					
	Norwich City Council's Draft Charging Schedule ✓					
	South Norfolk Council's Draft Charging Schedule ✓					
	If no:					
	a.			e this issue at the Preliminary Draft Charging Schedule Stage?		
	Yes		No			
previous Draft put fo comminform	d to the busly, we Chargir rward yn ent sho nation ne exami	legal in the second sec	require :. You vedule land edule land ggeste iefly co ary to this stased or	of what change(s) you consider are necessary, having ments for a charging schedule and, if not raised will need to say why you think this change will make the egally compliant. It will be very helpful if you could also d revised wording of any text. Please note your ver all the information, evidence and supporting support or justify the representation and the suggested tage, further submissions will only be possible at the request in the matters and issues he/she identifies for see be as precise as possible. Only information that		

Please add your comments here

The Norfolk Chamber of Commerce supports the principle of CIL, and suggests that if set properly can be a strong tool in securing and co-ordinating the funding of infrastructure to support and encourage growth. However, the converse is also true. If the CIL rate is set to high for certain types of development in certain areas, then it could have the opposite affect and act as a throttle on growth.

relates to the representation will be accepted.

The Chamber is broadly content with the CIL rates for, institutional uses (C2, C2A and D1, including emergency services buildings) - nil charge, and the 'other types of development covered by CIL regulations - £5 sqm..

With regard to the proposed £25 sqm charge for small shops, many of the Chambers members are concerned that in the current economic conditions, they will find it difficult to take on these costs in marginal trading units.

The Chamber of Commerce has concerns over the impact the proposed CIL charge of £115 sqm. could have on the prospects for residential development proposals within Zone A (Norwich and its immediate surrounds).

Residential development is a key driver of growth nationally, not just locally. Most of Greater Norwich's growth will be in Norwich and surrounding settlements (Zone A) and therefore subject to the £115 sqm. charge. This represents a charge of £10,200 for an average sized house (89 sqm.), added to this will





NORWICH

GREAT YARMOUTH

WEST NORFOLK

THETFORD

9 Norwich Business Park
Whiting Road
Norwich
Norfolk NR4 6DJ
T: +44 (0)1603 625977
F: +44 (0)1603 633032
E: info@norfolkchamber.co.uk

be additional costs such as access costs, open space etc. In itself, residential development brings immediate jobs through construction but also secondary jobs that support the surrounding local economy. In addition, we need to ensure that the right houses are built in the most appropriate locations to encourage/stimulate local growth.

Given the state of housing market at the moment and the foreseeable future, and the expectation that material costs, finance costs etc. will be increasing, this charge could have a significant impact on the viability of residential schemes.

The Chamber is yet to be convinced that the GNDP can adequately demonstrate that the proposed CIL rate would not put at serious risk the viability of development proposals across the Greater Norwich Area (particularly the Inner Area (Zone A)).

The Chamber has been made aware of the concerns raised by the development industry about the evidence used to support the CIL figure. It appears that the current evidence base is still being questioned by the development industry and the recent evidence published by GNDP does not appear to address all of the concerns.

The Chamber is therefore concerned that the GNDP cannot at the moment robustly demonstrate residential scheme viability particularly across Zone A at the rate proposed (£115 sqm.). Until it is able to do so, the CIL rate in Zone A cannot be considered to be based on robust and credible evidence.

The GNDP needs to put forward further robust and convincing evidence that the proposed CIL rate for residential development in the Inner Area (Zone A) results in viable schemes. This means re-running and testing viability using the credible assumptions suggested by the development industry.

If the re-testing, based on the development industry's figures cannot demonstrate viability across Zone A at the current proposed rate (£115), which appears likely, then it needs to be reduced to a level that does demonstrate development viability across Zone A.

To conclude, the Chamber remains committed to encouraging growth to occur within the Greater Norwich area. Whilst endorsing CIL as a principle, they remain concerned that the currently proposed charging levels are set at an unrealistic level. Until either the current rates can be justified to the satisfaction of the development industry, or the CIL is reduced to an affordable development that helps stimulate growth rather than stifle it, the Chamber cannot support the draft charging schedule, particularly as it relates to residential development in Zone A.

Q2.	Please state in the table below which part of the Draft Charging Schedule(s) you have further comment on.				
	I would like my representation to be considered for (please tick):				
	Broadland District Council's Draft Charging Schedule				
	Norwich City Council's Draft Charging Schedule □				
	South Norfolk Council's Draft Charging Schedule				





NORWICH
GREAT YARMOUTH
WEST NORFOLK

9 Norwich Business Park Whiting Road Norwich Norfolk NR4 6DJ T: +44 (0)1603 625977 F: +44 (0)1603 633032

E: info@norfolkchamber.co.uk

Paragraph e.g. 1.1	Comment
Please enter the paragraph number here	Please enter your comment here

Supporting documents

You can support your comment with documents. Please refer to the guidance notes if you wish to submit documents. Please list any documents that you are sending to support your comment.

Please add your comments here	

Notification requests

In line with the Statement of Representations procedure, please indicate if you wish to be:

- ☐ heard by the Examiner
 - ✓ notified that the Draft Charging Schedule has been submitted to the Examiner in accordance with Section 212 of the Planning Act 2008





NORWICH

GREAT YARMOUTH

WEST NORFOLK

THETFORD

9 Norwich Business Park Whiting Road Norwich Norfolk NR4 6DJ

T: +44 (0)1603 625977 F: +44 (0)1603 633032

E: info@norfolkchamber.co.uk

- ✓ notified of the publication of the recommendations of the Examiner and the reasons for those recommendations
- ✓ notified of the approval of the Charging Schedule by the Charging Authority(s)

Yours faithfully



Paul Clarke
Bidwells
For and on behalf of
Norfolk Chamber Planning & Development Group

