

Evidence

Please use this section to give us any comments you have on the evidence:

- The explanatory document 'Community Infrastructure Levy: Background and Context'
- Viability Advice on a CIL/ Tariff for Broadland, Norwich and South Norfolk (GVA, December 2010)
- Charging Zones Schedule Report (GVA, August 2011)
- Topic Paper: Green Infrastructure and Recreational Open Space (GNDDP, June 2011)

Question 1: Having considered the evidence do you agree the appropriate balance between the desirability of funding from CIL and impacts on the economic viability have been met?

Yes No

Please add any comments below

My answer applies to (please tick one or more of the boxes):

Broadland Norwich South Norfolk All

NOTE In accordance with CIL regulations, the charging rates proposed in the Preliminary Draft Charging Schedules aim to balance the need to fund infrastructure in Greater Norwich with the potential impact on the economic viability of development. Any comments suggesting a variation in the rate of CIL should be justified by viability evidence.

Geographical zones

Please use this section to give us any comments about the boundaries of the geographical charging zones shown in appendix 1 of the Preliminary Draft Charging Schedule

Non-residential development zone boundary

Question 2: It is intended that, for non-residential development, one charging area will apply to the administrative areas of Broadland District Council, Norwich City Council and South Norfolk Council. Do you agree with this approach?

Yes No

Please add any comments below

My answer applies to: (please mark one or more of the boxes):

Broadland Norwich South Norfolk All

Residential development zone boundaries

Question 3: The viability evidence supports two charging zones for residential development, Zone A and Zone B. The Norwich City Council area falls entirely in Zone A. Broadland District Council and South Norfolk Council areas are within Zone A and Zone B. Do you agree with the boundaries for the charging zones?

Yes No

Please add any comments below

My answer applies to: (please mark one or more of the boxes):

Broadland South Norfolk All

NOTE In accordance with CIL regulations, the charging rates proposed in the Preliminary Draft Charging Schedules aim to balance the need to fund infrastructure in Greater Norwich with the potential impact on the economic viability of development. Any comments suggesting a variation in the rate of CIL should be justified by viability evidence.

Charging Schedule

Please use this section to comment on the rates of charge as shown in the table on page 2 of the Preliminary Draft Charging Schedule

Residential development – Zone A

Question 4a: It is intended that the rate of charge for residential development in Zone A will be within a range of £135 to £160 per m2.

What do you think the rate should be?

As above

Question 4b: What is your justification for this rate?

To ensure that developments contribute to amenity and infrastructure improvements necessary to absorb the development.

My answer applies to (please tick one or more of the boxes):

Broadland



Norwich



South
Norfolk



All



NOTE In accordance with CIL regulations, the charging rates proposed in the Preliminary Draft Charging Schedules aim to balance the need to fund infrastructure in Greater Norwich with the potential impact on the economic viability of development. Any comments suggesting a variation in the rate of CIL should be justified by viability evidence.

Residential development – Zone B:

Question 5a: It is intended that the rate of charge for residential development in the Zone B will be £75 per m². Do you agree with this approach?

Yes No

Please add any comments below

Question 5b: If you answered no to the above question:

What should the charge be?

What is your justification for this rate?

My answer applies to (please tick one or more of the boxes):

Broadland Norwich South Norfolk All

NOTE In accordance with CIL regulations, the charging rates proposed in the Preliminary Draft Charging Schedules aim to balance the need to fund infrastructure in Greater Norwich with the potential impact on the economic viability of development. Any comments suggesting a variation in the rate of CIL should be justified by viability evidence.

Residential development – zones A and B

Question 6a: It is intended that the rate of charge for domestic garages (excluding shared-user garages) in Zones A and B will be within a range of £25 to £35 per m2.

What do you think the rate should be?

As above

Question 6b: What is your justification for this rate?

To ensure that developments contribute to amenity and infrastructure improvements necessary to absorb the development.

My answer applies to (please tick one or more of the boxes):

Broadland Norwich South Norfolk All

NOTE In accordance with CIL regulations, the charging rates proposed in the Preliminary Draft Charging Schedules aim to balance the need to fund infrastructure in Greater Norwich with the potential impact on the economic viability of development. Any comments suggesting a variation in the rate of CIL should be justified by viability evidence.

Large convenience goods based supermarkets and supermarkets

Question 7a: It is intended that the rate of charge for large convenience goods based supermarkets and superstores of 2,000m² gross or more will be £135 per m². Do you agree with this approach?

Yes No

Please add any comments below

Question 7b: If you answered no to the above question:

What should the charge be?

What is your justification for this rate?

My answer applies to (please tick one or more of the boxes):

Broadland Norwich South Norfolk All

NOTE In accordance with CIL regulations, the charging rates proposed in the Preliminary Draft Charging Schedules aim to balance the need to fund infrastructure in Greater Norwich with the potential impact on the economic viability of development. Any comments suggesting a variation in the rate of CIL should be justified by viability evidence.

Other retail and assembly and leisure developments

Question 8a: It is intended that the rate of charge for all other retail and assembly and leisure developments will be £25 per m2 (including shared user garages). Do you agree with this approach?

Yes No

Please add any comments below

Question 8b: If you answered no to the above question:

What should the charge be?

What is your justification for this rate?

My answer applies to (please tick one or more of the boxes):

Broadland Norwich South Norfolk All

NOTE In accordance with CIL regulations, the charging rates proposed in the Preliminary Draft Charging Schedules aim to balance the need to fund infrastructure in Greater Norwich with the potential impact on the economic viability of development. Any comments suggesting a variation in the rate of CIL should be justified by viability evidence.

Community uses

Question 9a: It is intended that the rates of charge for all other Community Uses will be £0 per m2. Do you agree with this approach?

Yes No

Please add any comments below

Question 9b: If you answered no to the above question:

What should the charge be?

What is your justification for this rate?

My answer applies to (please tick one or more of the boxes):

Broadland Norwich South Norfolk All

NOTE In accordance with CIL regulations, the charging rates proposed in the Preliminary Draft Charging Schedules aim to balance the need to fund infrastructure in Greater Norwich with the potential impact on the economic viability of development. Any comments suggesting a variation in the rate of CIL should be justified by viability evidence.

Other types of development

Question 10a: It is intended that the rates of charge for all other types of development (including shared-user garages) covered by the CIL regulations will be £5 per m2. Do you agree with this approach?

Yes No

Please add any comments below

Question 10b: If you answered no to the above question:

What should the charge be?

What is your justification for this rate?

My answer applies to (please tick one or more of the boxes):

Broadland Norwich South Norfolk All

NOTE In accordance with CIL regulations, the charging rates proposed in the Preliminary Draft Charging Schedules aim to balance the need to fund infrastructure in Greater Norwich with the potential impact on the economic viability of development. Any comments suggesting a variation in the rate of CIL should be justified by viability evidence.

There are other issues we would like your views on, though these are not part of the Preliminary Draft Charging Schedules.

Discretionary relief

The approach to discretionary relief can be found on page 3 of the Preliminary Draft Charging Schedule and in section 12 of the 'Community Infrastructure Levy: Background and Context'.

Question 11 Do you agree with the approach to Discretionary Relief?

Yes No

Please add any comments below

My answer applies to (please mark one or more of the boxes):

Broadland Norwich South Norfolk All

Staging of payments

The approach to the staging of payments can be found in page 3 of the Preliminary Draft Charging Schedule and in section 11 and appendix 4 of the document 'Community Infrastructure Levy: Background and Context'.

Question 12: Do you have any comments about the draft policy

Yes No

Please add any comments below

My answer applies to: (please mark one or more of the boxes):

Broadland Norwich South Norfolk All

NOTE In accordance with CIL regulations, the charging rates proposed in the Preliminary Draft Charging Schedules aim to balance the need to fund infrastructure in Greater Norwich with the potential impact on the economic viability of development. Any comments suggesting a variation in the rate of CIL should be justified by viability evidence.

Payment in kind

Within the GNDP area, where land is required within a development to provide built infrastructure to support that development (such as a school) it will be expected that land transfer will be at no cost to the local authorities and will not be accepted as a CIL payment in kind. Where the facility is needed to serve more than one development, any land transfer over and above that needed for the specific development would be regarded as payment in kind of CIL. The approach to payment in kind can be found on page 3 of the Preliminary draft charging schedule and in section 12 of the document 'Community Infrastructure Levy: Background and Context'.

Question 13: Do you agree with the approach to payment in kind?

Yes No

Please add any comments below

My answer applies to: (please mark one or more of the boxes):

Broadland Norwich South Norfolk All

NOTE In accordance with CIL regulations, the charging rates proposed in the Preliminary Draft Charging Schedules aim to balance the need to fund infrastructure in Greater Norwich with the potential impact on the economic viability of development. Any comments suggesting a variation in the rate of CIL should be justified by viability evidence.

Neighbourhoods and CIL

The Government proposes that neighbourhoods where development takes place will receive a 'meaningful proportion' of CIL revenue to spend on infrastructure projects locally. The local community will be able to decide how this money should be spent as long as it is used for infrastructure.

The government is currently consulting on this proposal which can be found its website at www.dclg.gov.uk.

The consultation suggests that in Broadland and South Norfolk districts the Parish and Town Councils will take on this responsibility. In Norwich, where there are no Parish or Town councils, an approach appropriate to the area will need to be developed.

Question 14a: Subject to any updated Regulations it is proposed that 5% of the net CIL receipts be passed to local communities (e.g. the Parish Council or Town Council in the two rural districts) who express an interest in receiving it. Do you agree with this approach?

Yes No

Please add any comments below

My answer applies to: (please mark one or more of the boxes):

Broadland Norwich South Norfolk All

Question 14b: Do you have any views about how the CIL which will be made available for the local community in Norwich, where there are no Parish or Town Councils, should be administered?

Please add any comments below

NOTE In accordance with CIL regulations, the charging rates proposed in the Preliminary Draft Charging Schedules aim to balance the need to fund infrastructure in Greater Norwich with the potential impact on the economic viability of development. Any comments suggesting a variation in the rate of CIL should be justified by viability evidence.

Other comments

Question 15: Do you have any other comments on the Preliminary Draft Charging Schedule(s) or the Community Infrastructure Levy?

Yes No

Please add any comments below

My answer applies to: (please mark one or more of the boxes):

Broadland Norwich South Norfolk All

For paper copies of this form please email cil@gndp.org.uk or telephone 01603 430144

Please return the form to:

Email: cil@gndp.org.uk

Post: Greater Norwich Development Partnership
PO Box 3466
Norwich
NR7 0NX

OFFICE USE ONLY:

Date received:

Representation no:

Forms can also be delivered by hand to:

to your local district council office or to the County Council:

- Broadland District Council, Thorpe Lodge, 1 Yarmouth Road, Norwich NR7 0DU
- Norwich City Council, City Hall, St Peter's Street, Norwich, NR2 1NH
- South Norfolk Council, South Norfolk House, Swan Lane, Long Stratton, NR15 2XE

ALL FORMS MUST BE RECEIVED BY 5PM ON MONDAY 14 NOVEMBER 2011

NOTE In accordance with CIL regulations, the charging rates proposed in the Preliminary Draft Charging Schedules aim to balance the need to fund infrastructure in Greater Norwich with the potential impact on the economic viability of development. Any comments suggesting a variation in the rate of CIL should be justified by viability evidence.

For more information or if you require this document in another format or language, please contact the GNDP:

email: cil@gndp.org.uk
tel: 01603 430144

