

## Evidence

Please use this section to give us any comments you have on the evidence:

- The explanatory document 'Community Infrastructure Levy: Background and Context'
- Viability Advice on a CIL/ Tariff for Broadland, Norwich and South Norfolk (GVA, December 2010)
- Charging Zones Schedule Report (GVA, August 2011)
- Topic Paper: Green Infrastructure and Recreational Open Space (GNDDP, June 2011)

**Question 1:** Having considered the evidence do you agree the appropriate balance between the desirability of funding from CIL and impacts on the economic viability have been met?

Yes  No

Please add any comments below

No Comment

My answer applies to (please tick one or more of the boxes):

Broadland  Norwich  South Norfolk  All

**NOTE** In accordance with CIL regulations, the charging rates proposed in the Preliminary Draft Charging Schedules aim to balance the need to fund infrastructure in Greater Norwich with the potential impact on the economic viability of development. Any comments suggesting a variation in the rate of CIL should be justified by viability evidence

### Geographical zones

Please use this section to give us any comments about the boundaries of the geographical charging zones shown in appendix 1 of the Preliminary Draft Charging Schedule

### Non-residential development zone boundary

**Question 2:** It is intended that, for non-residential development, one charging area will apply to the administrative areas of Broadland District Council, Norwich City Council and South Norfolk Council. Do you agree with this approach?

Yes  No

Please add any comments below

No Comment

My answer applies to: (please mark one or more of the boxes):

Broadland  Norwich  South Norfolk  All

### Residential development zone boundaries

**Question 3:** The viability evidence supports two charging zones for residential development, Zone A and Zone B. The Norwich City Council area falls entirely in Zone A. Broadland District Council and South Norfolk Council areas are within Zone A and Zone B. Do you agree with the boundaries for the charging zones?

Yes  No

Please add any comments below

This arrangement is noted.

My answer applies to: (please mark one or more of the boxes):

Broadland  South Norfolk  All

NOTE In accordance with CIL regulations, the charging rates proposed in the Preliminary Draft Charging Schedules aim to balance the need to fund infrastructure in Greater Norwich with the potential impact on the economic viability of development. Any comments suggesting a variation in the rate of CIL should be justified by viability evidence

## Charging Schedule

Please use this section to comment on the rates of charge as shown in the table on page 2 of the Preliminary Draft Charging Schedule

### Residential development – Zone A

**Question 4a:** It is intended that the rate of charge for residential development in Zone A will be within a range of £135 to £160 per m2.

What do you think the rate should be?

**No Comment**

**Question 4b:** What is your justification for this rate?

My answer applies to (please tick one or more of the boxes):

Broadland

Norwich

South  
Norfolk

All

NOTE In accordance with CIL regulations, the charging rates proposed in the Preliminary Draft Charging Schedules aim to balance the need to fund infrastructure in Greater Norwich with the potential impact on the economic viability of development. Any comments suggesting a variation in the rate of CIL should be justified by viability evidence

**Residential development – Zone B:**

**Question 5a:** It is intended that the rate of charge for residential development in the Zone B will be £75 per m<sup>2</sup>. Do you agree with this approach?

Yes  No

Please add any comments below  
No Comment

**Question 5b:** If you answered no to the above question:

What should the charge be?

What is your justification for this rate?

My answer applies to (please tick one or more of the boxes):

Broadland  Norwich  South Norfolk  All

**NOTE** In accordance with CIL regulations, the charging rates proposed in the Preliminary Draft Charging Schedules aim to balance the need to fund infrastructure in Greater Norwich with the potential impact on the economic viability of development. Any comments suggesting a variation in the rate of CIL should be justified by viability evidence

**Residential development – zones A and B**

**Question 6a:** It is intended that the rate of charge for domestic garages (excluding shared-user garages) in Zones A and B will be within a range of £25 to £35 per m2.

What do you think the rate should be?

**No Comment**

**Question 6b:** What is your justification for this rate?

My answer applies to (please tick one or more of the boxes):

Broadland

Norwich

South  
Norfolk

All

**NOTE** In accordance with CIL regulations, the charging rates proposed in the Preliminary Draft Charging Schedules aim to balance the need to fund infrastructure in Greater Norwich with the potential impact on the economic viability of development. Any comments suggesting a variation in the rate of CIL should be justified by viability evidence

**Large convenience goods based supermarkets and supermarkets**

**Question 7a:** It is intended that the rate of charge for large convenience goods based supermarkets and superstores of 2,000m<sup>2</sup> gross or more will be £135 per m<sup>2</sup>. Do you agree with this approach?

Yes  No

Please add any comments below

No Comment

**Question 7b:** If you answered no to the above question:

What should the charge be?

What is your justification for this rate?

My answer applies to (please tick one or more of the boxes):

Broadland  Norwich  South Norfolk  All

**NOTE** In accordance with CIL regulations, the charging rates proposed in the Preliminary Draft Charging Schedules aim to balance the need to fund infrastructure in Greater Norwich with the potential impact on the economic viability of development. Any comments suggesting a variation in the rate of CIL should be justified by viability evidence

**Other retail and assembly and leisure developments**

**Question 8a:** It is intended that the rate of charge for all other retail and assembly and leisure developments will be £25 per m2 (including shared user garages). Do you agree with this approach?

Yes  No

Please add any comments below

No Comment

**Question 8b:** If you answered no to the above question:

What should the charge be?

What is your justification for this rate?

My answer applies to (please tick one or more of the boxes):

Broadland  Norwich  South Norfolk  All

**NOTE** In accordance with CIL regulations, the charging rates proposed in the Preliminary Draft Charging Schedules aim to balance the need to fund infrastructure in Greater Norwich with the potential impact on the economic viability of development. Any comments suggesting a variation in the rate of CIL should be justified by viability evidence

**Community uses**

**Question 9a:** It is intended that the rates of charge for all other Community Uses will be £0 per m2. Do you agree with this approach?

Yes

No

Please add any comments below

No Comment

**Question 9b:** If you answered no to the above question:

What should the charge be?

What is your justification for this rate?

My answer applies to (please tick one or more of the boxes):

Broadland

Norwich

South  
Norfolk

All

**NOTE** In accordance with CIL regulations, the charging rates proposed in the Preliminary Draft Charging Schedules aim to balance the need to fund infrastructure in Greater Norwich with the potential impact on the economic viability of development. Any comments suggesting a variation in the rate of CIL should be justified by viability evidence



**Other types of development**

**Question 10a:** It is intended that the rates of charge for all other types of development (including shared-user garages) covered by the CIL regulations will be £5 per m2. Do you agree with this approach?

Yes  No

Please add any comments below

No Comment

**Question 10b:** If you answered no to the above question:

What should the charge be?

What is your justification for this rate?

My answer applies to (please tick one or more of the boxes):

Broadland  Norwich  South Norfolk  All

**NOTE** In accordance with CIL regulations, the charging rates proposed in the Preliminary Draft Charging Schedules aim to balance the need to fund infrastructure in Greater Norwich with the potential impact on the economic viability of development. Any comments suggesting a variation in the rate of CIL should be justified by viability evidence

There are other issues we would like your views on, though these are not part of the Preliminary Draft Charging Schedules.

### Discretionary relief

The approach to discretionary relief can be found on page 3 of the Preliminary Draft Charging Schedule and in section 12 of the 'Community Infrastructure Levy: Background and Context'.

**Question 11** Do you agree with the approach to Discretionary Relief?

Yes  No

Please add any comments below

We fully agree with 100% relief from CIL for affordable homes.

My answer applies to (please mark one or more of the boxes):

Broadland  Norwich  South Norfolk  All

### Staging of payments

The approach to the staging of payments can be found in page 3 of the Preliminary Draft Charging Schedule and in section 11 and appendix 4 of the document 'Community Infrastructure Levy: Background and Context'.

**Question 12:** Do you have any comments about the draft policy

Yes  No

Please add any comments below

No Comment

My answer applies to: (please mark one or more of the boxes):

Broadland  Norwich  South Norfolk  All

**NOTE** In accordance with CIL regulations, the charging rates proposed in the Preliminary Draft Charging Schedules aim to balance the need to fund infrastructure in Greater Norwich with the potential impact on the economic viability of development. Any comments suggesting a variation in the rate of CIL should be justified by viability evidence

### Payment in kind

Within the GNDP area, where land is required within a development to provide built infrastructure to support that development (such as a school) it will be expected that land transfer will be at no cost to the local authorities and will not be accepted as a CIL payment in kind. Where the facility is needed to serve more than one development, any land transfer over and above that needed for the specific development would be regarded as payment in kind of CIL. The approach to payment in kind can be found on page 3 of the Preliminary draft charging schedule and in section 12 of the document 'Community Infrastructure Levy: Background and Context'.

**Question 13:** Do you agree with the approach to payment in kind?

Yes  No

Please add any comments below

No Comment

My answer applies to: (please mark one or more of the boxes):

Broadland  Norwich  South Norfolk  All

**NOTE** In accordance with CIL regulations, the charging rates proposed in the Preliminary Draft Charging Schedules aim to balance the need to fund infrastructure in Greater Norwich with the potential impact on the economic viability of development. Any comments suggesting a variation in the rate of CIL should be justified by viability evidence

## Neighbourhoods and CIL

The Government proposes that neighbourhoods where development takes place will receive a 'meaningful proportion' of CIL revenue to spend on infrastructure projects locally. The local community will be able to decide how this money should be spent as long as it is used for infrastructure.

The government is currently consulting on this proposal which can be found its website at [www.dclg.gov.uk](http://www.dclg.gov.uk).

The consultation suggests that in Broadland and South Norfolk districts the Parish and Town Councils will take on this responsibility. In Norwich, where there are no Parish or Town councils, an approach appropriate to the area will need to be developed.

**Question 14a:** Subject to any updated Regulations it is proposed that 5% of the net CIL receipts be passed to local communities (e.g. the Parish Council or Town Council in the two rural districts) who express an interest in receiving it. Do you agree with this approach?

Yes

No

Please add any comments below

We believe that 10% of the net CIL receipts should be passed to local communities who express an interest in receiving it.

My answer applies to: (please mark one or more of the boxes):

Broadland

Norwich

South  
Norfolk

All

**Question 14b:** Do you have any views about how the CIL which will be made available for the local community in Norwich, where there are no Parish or Town Councils, should be administered?

Please add any comments below

No Comment

**NOTE** In accordance with CIL regulations, the charging rates proposed in the Preliminary Draft Charging Schedules aim to balance the need to fund infrastructure in Greater Norwich with the potential impact on the economic viability of development. Any comments suggesting a variation in the rate of CIL should be justified by viability evidence

**Other comments**

**Question 15:** Do you have any other comments on the Preliminary Draft Charging Schedule(s) or the Community Infrastructure Levy?

Yes  No

Please add any comments below

As a general principle, developments in one area that have deleterious effects on adjoining areas should lead to part of the CIL coming to the affected area. This arises from Marlingford and Colton Parish Councils consideration, for the LDF consultation, of developments in Easton which will undoubtedly lead to increases in traffic through Marlingford and Colton together with increased light and noise pollution, impact on the landscape, impact on wildlife, reduced air quality and increased litter. It is the Parish Councils view that some of the CIL money that would arise from these developments should come to Marlingford and Colton, to be spent at the Parish Councils discretion on infrastructure projects, of which traffic calming could be an example.

My answer applies to: (please mark one or more of the boxes):

Broadland  Norwich  South Norfolk  All

For paper copies of this form please email [cil@gndp.org.uk](mailto:cil@gndp.org.uk) or telephone 01603 430144

**Please return the form to:**

Email: [cil@gndp.org.uk](mailto:cil@gndp.org.uk)

Post: Greater Norwich Development Partnership  
PO Box 3466  
Norwich  
NR7 0NX

**OFFICE USE ONLY:**

Date received:

Representation no:

Forms can also be delivered by hand to:

to your local district council office or to the County Council:

NOTE In accordance with CIL regulations, the charging rates proposed in the Preliminary Draft Charging Schedules aim to balance the need to fund infrastructure in Greater Norwich with the potential impact on the economic viability of development. Any comments suggesting a variation in the rate of CIL should be justified by viability evidence

- Broadland District Council, Thorpe Lodge, 1 Yarmouth Road, Norwich NR7 0DU
- Norwich City Council, City Hall, St Peter's Street, Norwich, NR2 1NH
- South Norfolk Council, South Norfolk House, Swan Lane, Long Stratton, NR15 2XE

**ALL FORMS MUST BE RECEIVED BY 5PM ON MONDAY 14 NOVEMBER 2011**

NOTE In accordance with CIL regulations, the charging rates proposed in the Preliminary Draft Charging Schedules aim to balance the need to fund infrastructure in Greater Norwich with the potential impact on the economic viability of development. Any comments suggesting a variation in the rate of CIL should be justified by viability evidence.