

6th January, 2011

8808/IC1

Louise St John Howe

I wish to comment on the Norwich joint Core Strategy supporting document for IC1 :
RF117

Revision 9 December 2010

I welcome the consideration of high quality Cycling infrastructure in what appears to be page 3 of Document RF 117.

I consider the description to be inadequate.

Will it be:-

- Twee low speed facilities designed to allow persons in the new urbanisation's to amble to the shops. As have been installed at Bowthorpe.
- Well engineered facilities designed to get people to work efficiently so avoiding the need for the Cyclist to weave between near stationary cars to get to work on time. As per Sweet Briar industrial estate.

As an unemployed cyclist I am obliged to apply for all suitable positions within a 90 minute cycle commute of Lakenham, to receive benefits.

The proposed highway 'improvements' at longwater(A1074), Thickthorn(A11), Harford(A140) junctions detailed in *para 6.18 revised* are within my compulsory commuting zone as is the Postwick Hub.

past experience strongly suggests that these so called improvements will be a detriment to my safety and more importantly my mobility.

I bitterly resent my taxes paid to the government when times were good being used in a targeted attempt to kill me now times are hard. More importantly i live in one of the many sink estates in Norwich where 1/3 of young people are unemployed. These proposals pandering to the car owning rich can only make it harder for those disadvantaged by public subsidies to such rich to get a foot on the employment ladder.

Clifford Leggett
Norwich, NR1