

**LOCAL AUTHORITY MAJOR SCHEMES
DEVELOPMENT POOL: EXPRESSION OF INTEREST**

Scheme Name	Norwich Northern Development Route
--------------------	------------------------------------

Local Authority	Norfolk County Council
------------------------	------------------------

SENIOR RESPONSIBLE OWNER DECLARATION

I confirm that [authority name] wishes [scheme name] to be taken forward for consideration for funding in the Spending Review period. I understand that any cost incurred in submitting the scheme through the prioritisation process is at the authority's own risk.

OR

[authority name] does not wish [scheme name] to be taken forward for consideration for funding in the Spending Review period under the processes described in the document "Investment in Local Major Transport Schemes"

[Please delete as appropriate]

As Senior Responsible Owner for [scheme name] I confirm that I have the necessary authority to make the above declaration

Signed:	
----------------	--

Name:	
--------------	--

Position:	
------------------	--

SECTION 1: THE SCHEME AS PREVIOUSLY CONFIGURED

This section should describe the scheme as approved at Programme Entry. Please state separately if there have been any subsequent changes previously notified to or discussed with DfT prior to June 2010.

For schemes with a bid for Programme Entry with the Department this section should describe the scheme as submitted in the business case. Please state separately if there had been any subsequent changes since business case submission prior to June 2010.

1.1 Description of the scheme *This should clearly state the scope of the scheme and describe all of its key components.*

--

1.2 What are the primary objectives of the scheme?

Please limit this to the primary objectives (ideally no more than 3) such as reducing congestion; the problems to which this scheme is the solution. Do not include secondary objectives i.e. things that the scheme will contribute to (for example it may be an objective of a new road scheme to include improved facilities for cyclists, but that is not a primary objective)

--

1.3 What are the key milestones for delivery, including estimated start and completion date of the scheme as proposed?

Please list all relevant milestones with dates including start and completion of statutory processes, public inquiries, procurement etc. For the purposes of this question assume that no Full Approval decision will be given before December 2011 and no DfT funding will be available before 2012/13. Please describe any implications arising from the non-availability of DfT funding until 2012/13 if that represents a delay to your previously assumed timetable.

--

1.4 What are the key risks to the delivery to this timetable, aside from

the availability or otherwise of DfT funding?

Please list the biggest risks (ideally no more than three) that have a potentially significant impact on the timing of the scheme. For each risk please describe its likelihood, and quantify the potential time delay.

1.5 What is the total estimated outturn cost of the scheme?

Please provide the latest cost of the scheme with an explanation of any key changes from the costs as approved at PE (or for schemes without PE the costs in the MSBC) broken down by main category (construction, land, utility diversions etc.) and including any eligible preparatory costs as defined in previous guidance. For the purposes of this question please assume no DfT funding available until 2012/13. Include the impact of any delay, if applicable. Please also include the revised funding profile for the scheme and breakdown by funder based on the funding split assumed in the MSBC. Please also state what inflation assumptions you are using.

	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	2015/16	2016/17
LA contribution						
Third Party contribution						
DfT funding requested						
TOTAL						

1.6 What comments or observations – if any – would you like to make on the revised BCR produced by DfT? [Note: this will be provided separately]

Please provide us with any evidence which corrects any errors in calculation or interpretation. Please also provide us with any local information which may provide better (or more complete) estimates of the impacts we have included in the monetised benefits and costs. Where possible, you should provide evidence on the likely scale of any revisions to benefits and costs this information would imply and their impact on the BCR.

1.7 Are there significant benefits or costs that have not been captured in the above BCR?

Please explain whether there likely to be material benefits or costs that have not been captured in the above BCR. Please differentiate between monetised NATA benefits

that have not been captured in the above BCR, non monetised NATA benefits and impacts that you do not think are included within the NATA framework. Please provide evidence to support any further impacts claimed. We will ask for more detailed evidence in due course during 2011 for schemes in the Development Pool.

1.8 Please describe the latest position regarding any developments associated with the case for the scheme?

If the business case for the scheme is associated with housing and commercial developments please describe the latest position regarding each of these developments, particularly where there have been changes since the most recently submitted business case. This should include any changes to numbers of housing, jobs etc. and information on planning consents applied for or granted. If possible please provide a letters or statement of intent from individual developers that state their present intentions regarding the scale, nature and timing of their developments.

SECTION 2: SCOPE FOR REVISED PROPOSALS

In this section we are asking for an early indication of your intent, without prejudice to what you might choose to include in your Best and Final Bid in autumn 2011. Nothing you include here will be binding upon you or on DfT.

2.1 What changes in scope do you plan to consider as compared against the scheme as described above?

Please attach plans and/or maps if necessary to illustrate the nature of the possible changes. You may include more than one option here but please be clear on any 'red line' issues – e.g. reductions in scope below which you would not be prepared to take the scheme forward.

2.2 Can you describe the likely impact of the scope changes described above on value for money and achievement of your stated objectives

Your answer to this question does not need to include a precise value for money calculation (unless one is already available) but it should provide a commentary on where there would be expected to be a material change to costs and benefits (both monetised and non-monetised), and in which direction. Please provide evidence if available.

2.3 Can you describe the impact of the scope changes on your timescales for delivery at 1.3 above

For example if they would require restarting statutory processes, procurement etc.

2.4 In addition to any significant changes in scope, can you quantify the potential for savings in the overall cost of the scheme, for example through value engineering?

We do not necessarily expect firm costings at this stage but please give some

indication of scale.

2.5 What is your latest assessment of the cost, feasibility or value for money of any alternatives to the proposed scheme.

This could include any lower cost alternatives to the scheme as appraised by you in previous business cases or those proposed by third parties. Please make reference to any material differences with the preferred scheme in costs or benefits such as carbon impact.

SECTION 3: FUNDING

Please quote all amounts in £m to three decimal points (i.e. to the nearest £1000)

3.1 Taking into account the range of possible scope changes and cost savings described in Section 2, what is the extent of the potential reduction in the overall cost of the scheme from the figure provided in Section 1.5?

3.2 Third Party contributions

Please specify the third party contributions proposed so far and the extent of the further contributions that you think would be possible? Please state each potential third party organisations and their role or interest in the scheme. Please support this by attaching any funding commitments or letters of intent from individual funders wherever possible.

3.3 Local Authority funding

Please specify the scale of the funding contribution you would be prepared to consider providing from your own resources.

3.4 DfT funding to be requested

Taking into account the extent of the potential for reductions in overall cost and additional third party funding what is the scale of reductions in the previously requested DfT contribution.

SECTION 4: ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Please add any additional information that is relevant to your expression of interest that is not covered elsewhere in the form

SECTION 5: FURTHER WORK

We will be providing you separate technical guidance on the information that we will require for schemes in the Development Pool in the first part of 2011, particularly on modelling and appraisal, prior to the submission of Best and Final Funding Bids. Based on the requirements of this guidance, please provide an indication of what further modelling and appraisal work you would need to undertake and an indication of the earliest that you would be able to submit a Best and Final Funding Bid.

--

CONTACT DETAILS FOR FURTHER ENQUIRIES	
Lead Contact: Position: Tel: E-mail:	
Alternative Contact: Position: Tel: E-mail:	