

Outstanding Matters from Week Three

1. GNDP to provide a suggested soundness change concerning the possible replacement of Appendix 7 by the relevant tables from the LIPP.
2. GNDP to identify any green infrastructure schemes to be included within the LIPP, particularly those referred to in the JCS.
3. In relation to Policy 10, Hethersett, 3rd bullet point GNDP to delete 'will' and replace with 'may' and add to list of minor changes.
4. Denise Carlo to provide a note on traffic aspects in relation to the NDR/Postwick hub.
5. GNDP to consider whether policy 20 needs to be changed to include a request by Norfolk Constabulary to make a specific reference to the police.
6. GNDP to consider whether all the items listed as 'essential' infrastructure in policy 20 should remain, e.g. street

furniture and public art, or to adopt a phrase such as “infrastructure required to secure sustainable development will include”.

7. GNDP to insert ‘net’ after 20,000 in Policy 11 Para 6.24, top RH column.
8. P61 NATS diagram – GNDP to consider insertion of comment in the key, underneath reference to BRT & Core Bus Routes, to indicate that there will be cross-city connections between the corridors and routes.
9. Re Policy 11. In order to clarify the strategies for directing the growth of comparison goods floor space to the Primary Retail Area, GNDP to propose additional wording in Para 6.24 to explain the changes in the retail hierarchy concerning Riverside and Brazengate.
10. GNDP to provide a copy of the Prince’s Foundation “Enquiry by Design” exercise, April 2010. (Long Stratton)
11. GNDP to consider new first bullet point in Policy 12 to read along the lines “to contribute to the smaller sites allowance in Policy 9”: and to consider the order in which the first set of bullet points in Policy 9 are arranged.

12. GNDP to consider the key to the Settlement Hierarchy Map on page 79 indicating the sequence beginning with Norwich Urban Area and ending with the Service Villages.
13. GNDP to consider a soundness related change in relation to the current view of constraints in Lodden (Para 6.51).
14. GNDP to consider whether the wording of Policy 14 accurately reflects their intentions.
15. GNDP to consider possible deletion of the second sentence of Para 6.59 and the whole of Para 6.62.