

26th November, 2010

Richard Atkinson BA DMS MRTPI
Director
CgMs Consulting
Planning, Archaeology & Historic Buildings Consultants
Newark Beacon
Beacon Hill Office Park
Cafferata Way
Newark
NG24 2TN

www.cgms.co.uk

Registered Office : 5-11 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3HS

Registered in England : No 3303376

Dear Ms St John Howe

I would be grateful if you could draw the attention of the Inspectors to the content of this note.

In response to a request from the Inspector, SNUB has produced document RF58 which purports to provide the wording of a petition against development in the North East Sector and a summary of responses to it.

We have a number of concerns relating to the accuracy, robustness and relevance of this information. In particular, it is unclear from RF58 :

- what the survey area comprised - given signatories appear to be from all over Norfolk, and further afield, it would appear that this must extend well beyond the area which might be affected by development in the North East Sector;
- what survey method was employed and when the information was collected - data collected over an extended period may be less reliable;
- whether name and address details were provided - if not then the veracity of the survey must be open to question;
- whether there is any double counting;
- whether there are several signatories per household and what their status is.

In view of these concerns, Mr Knowles of Building Partnerships, spoke to Mr Lanyon and requested copies of the actual survey sheets to provide evidence which might give us (and the Inspector) comfort on the above points. Mr Lanyon confirmed that the survey sheets would be supplied but this evidence has not yet been provided.

We are also concerned by the wording of the petition which, apart from being biased and subjective, is different from the questions that were asked 'on the doorstep' when a member of Snub visited Mr Knowles house (he lives in the Growth Triangle). The wording cited in RF58 also contains two quite different propositions which makes it unclear which might be supported by the signatory.

In the light of the above, we ask that SNUB provide the full details of the petition as offered at the Enquiry, and to Mr Knowles, or that they should withdraw the document. If no details are provided then little or no weight should be attached to RF58.

Yours sincerely

Richard Atkinson BA DMS MRTPI
Director

Tel : 01636 653060
Mob : 07525 803343
Email : richard.atkinson@cgms.co.uk