

Letter IC1

Margaret George

Stuart Hands

John Middleton

Joan & Mike Cowell

David Hughes

Barbara Wyatt

Jane Burton

Nigel Morgan – Micron Data Solutions

Rebecca Goodall

Emma Finch

Richard Bearman

Kieran Anderson

Christine Way (Inner Space)

Jo Yates and Mr. B Painter

Vivien Ketteringham

Pat & Norman Gowan

Jill Wheatley

Brian Goodale

Ronald Groom

Paul Elsey

Mrs. D. Farman

Viviene Kitteringham

Robert Watters

These people have already sent in a representation to the Proposed changes(listed separately) but have also sent in letter IC1

Perry Watts

James Watts

Ann Watts

Inspector Roy Foster
c/o The Programme Officer
lstjohnhowe@hotmail.co.uk

Dear Inspector,

**Joint Core Strategy for Broadland, Norwich and South Norfolk–Inspector’s Possible Changes:
Flexibility and Resilience of the JCS in relation to the Norwich Northern Distributor Road (NNDR)
– issued 5 January 2011**

I wish to object to the proposed changes to the Joint Core Strategy **Policy 10 and Policy 20** because the transport strategy they outline for north-east Norwich and the adjacent area of Broadland District is not the most appropriate when considered against the reasonable alternatives. They also leave the Joint Core Strategy open to review in just five years time creating uncertainty for local people.

You requested these changes as a ‘partial alternative Plan B’. However, the changes amount only to a scheduling of housing developments to deliver the original Plan A and a re-timing of the NNDR to 2016/17. As such they do not form a ‘Plan B’ which you invited the Greater Norwich Development Partnership to consider due to the uncertainty over NNDR funding at the time of the strategy’s adoption in March.

Community and environmental groups oppose the Postwick Hub and NNDR within the Joint Core Strategy as they have not been properly tested against alternative transport policy options and it has not been satisfactorily demonstrated that they will reduce congestion and deliver a sustainable transport system. They would require substantial public money (c.£130m) at a time of spending restraint. Continuing with these schemes places undue reliance on them as ‘critical infrastructure’ when funding is unknown.

The uncertainty surrounding the NNDR/Postwick Hub will continue to create uncertainty for the local communities affected by a proposed NDR.

Further, keeping alive the possibility of a NNDR/Postwick Hub through the Joint Core Strategy has encouraged Norfolk County Council to spend more public money on them. In January 2011, the County Council agreed to spend up to £1.5 million over the next two years on further NNDR work. These funds have been taken from budgets originally intended for safety and community transport schemes planned across Norfolk.

The GNDP propose a review in 2016 if the NDR has not been built. I urge you to consider the alternative of creating, at this stage, a real Plan B that has no dependency on an NNDR and Postwick Hub. Whilst this may take time, there are enough existing permissions for housing development not to be delayed during this period. A plan B is a more realistic proposal in the current economic situation, it would relieve community uncertainty, and it would not leave the JCS open to a likely review in just five years time.

Please will you hold a further day’s public hearing to consider such an alternative Plan B as this important matter was not discussed at the hearing on 9 December.

Yours faithfully,